



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,792	12/05/2003	Charles S. Musso	438P1127	2243
28264	7590	12/16/2005	EXAMINER	
BOND, SCHOENECK & KING, PLLC			HWU, DAVIS D	
ONE LINCOLN CENTER			ART UNIT	
SYRACUSE, NY 13202-1355			PAPER NUMBER	

3752

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/729,792

Applicant(s)

MUSO ET AL.

Examiner

Davis D. Hwu

Art Unit

3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 November 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and arguments of November 16, 2005 are acknowledged and entered.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1, 3, and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beck et al. '391.

Beck et al. '391 shows a spreading system for a vehicle having an electrical system, the system comprising a hopper, a conveyor 40 positioned within the hopper, a first electric motor 44 interconnected to the electrical system of the vehicle, a high efficiency conveyor drive system interconnected to a conveyor belt 42 and coupled to the first electric motor, a second electric motor 92 interconnected to the electrical system of the vehicle, and a spreader 80 communicating with the hopper and coupled to the second electric motor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al. '391 in view of Rissi.

Rissi teaches a spreading system comprising a high efficiency conveyor drive system that translates a percentage of power of the motor to the conveyor depending on the required conveyor speed required for a particular application. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3752

have modified the device of Beck et al. '391 by incorporating a system to control the power from the motor to the conveyor as taught by Rissi in order to control the speed of the conveyor based on particular application requirements to provide a high efficiency conveyor drive system.

5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck et al. '391 in view of Rissi.

Beck et al. '391 discloses the instant invention except for the high efficiency conveyor drive system as recited. Rissi teaches a spreading system comprising a high efficiency conveyor drive system that translates a percentage of power of the motor to the conveyor depending on the required conveyor speed required for a particular application. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Beck et al. '391 by incorporating a system to control the power from the motor to the conveyor as taught by Rissi in order to control the speed of the conveyor based on particular application requirements to provide a high efficiency conveyor drive system.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed November 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Beck et al. state in columns 3 and 4 that both the conveyor and

Art Unit: 3752

the spreader motors can also be electric motors. Regarding the arguments of the examiner's rejection of claims 2 and 8-10, one having ordinary skill in the art obviously knows that the system of Rissi would be built to be highly efficient. Also, whether the translation of between 90 and 95 percent of the power as recited in claim 2 is considered highly efficient would depend on an operator's opinion.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3752

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu



DAVIS HWU
PRIMARY EXAMINER